

<b>AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT</b>		1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 3 PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. <b>A0004</b>	3. EFFECTIVE DATE <b>3/19/2008</b>	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) <b>BAA Safe Trip</b>
6. ISSUED BY USDOT/RSPA/Volpe Center 55 Broadway, Kendall Square, Cambridge, MA 02142-1093		7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No. Street, county, State and ZIP: Code)  <b>All Offerors</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. <b>DTRT57-08-R-20021</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) <b>2/28/08</b> 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.  10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE		

**11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS**

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning one (1) copy of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATA SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment and is received prior to the opening hour and data specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS,  
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

<input type="checkbox"/>	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor [ ] is not, [ ] is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

This amendment is issued to answer additional questions received for

BAA No. DTRT57-08-R-20021. This amendment is issued for both the Scanned>PDF and the Word>PDF BAA No. DTRT57-08-R-20021 solicitation document.

In accordance with 4. General Proposal Information, of the BAA , any questions received after this date (3/19/08) will be answered only if determined by the Contracting Officer to be in the best interest of the Government.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) <b>Orin D. Cook</b>	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	

Additional questions to BAA – DTRT57-08-R-20021

- Q.1 I'm assuming that there a funding match required for projects? What is that match percentage? I don't see an 80/20, 70/30, 50/50 federal/local minimum funding share listed. Also, can the match be soft-match or must it be hard-match dollars? Do we assume that more local match is better, but that no minimum funding share is required?
- A.1 Other than the requirement that the routine operations and maintenance associated with test site facilities are to be provided at no cost to the Government, there is not a specific funding match requirement in the SAFE TRIP BAA. The BAA encourages cost sharing and cost sharing will be considered during the evaluation process. More is better and it can be soft, hard match or a combination thereof. Cost sharing that leverages other Federal funding other than that of the U.S. DOT Vehicle Infrastructure Integration (VII) program (recognizing that some Federal fund sources may require non-Federal match) is permissible in addition to non-Federal fund sources.
- Q.2 We would like to share with you traffic solutions to ease congestion. We believe that we have a great tool for your mobility tool-box that would help achieve mobility objectives. Should you require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact us. We look forward to hearing from you.
- A.2 Information about innovative mobility solutions is appreciated but it cannot be considered unless a specific offer is provided in response to the BAA requirements.
- Q.3 Will exhibit space be provided to the successful bidder(s) for the demonstration at the ITS world congress?
- A.3 Successful offerors under the SAFE TRIP-21 BAA are expected to participate in the ITS World Congress exhibitions with the RITA Volpe Center. The amount of exhibit space and/or access to outdoor venues required needs to be identified by offerors and is subject to negotiation as part of any award to the extent this is proposed to be provided by the Government.
- Q.4 What scale of demonstration is RITA expecting for ITS world congress (i.e local operational system, remote viewing of the operational system, or web application demonstration)?
- A.4 SAFE TRIP-21 application exhibit concepts may be offered at any of the scales suggested in the question. Demonstrations that are interactive, illustrate obvious benefit to a clear transportation problem, and are complementary in the context of other applications are preferred.
- Q.5 Most of my questions were answered this weekend as I re-read the BAA. The only question that remained was: Is it a goal of the USDOT to have an operating project for the ITS World Congress?
- A.5 The intent is to be able to exhibit a representative capability for proposed applications at the ITS World Congress, recognizing that it may not be possible to fully reflect the scope and scale of the field test site operations at the World Congress. The BAA seeks applications that are essentially ready for deployment; therefore should be demonstrable at the World Congress under simulated conditions if not in actual operation. Field operational testing is to begin in the weeks following

the World Congress, such that ITS applications that cannot be ready for exhibition at the World Congress are unlikely to be suitable for initial field testing in December 2008.

Q.6 Please advise if the proposal have to be signed by an authorized officer of the company and if so, is there a template we can use.

A.6 Yes, an offerors proposal should be signed by an authorized individual.  
No, there is no template